LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 6600 NOTE PREPARED: Feb 3, 2003

BILL NUMBER: HB 1135 BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: Coverage for Substance Abuse Services.

FIRST AUTHOR: Rep. Brown C BILL STATUS: As Passed - House

FIRST SPONSOR: Sen. Lawson

FUNDS AFFECTED: GENERAL IMPACT: Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation</u>: This bill provides that mental health coverage provided under accident and sickness insurance policies and contracts with health maintenance organizations must include coverage of services for the treatment of substance abuse and chemical dependency when required in treatment of a mental illness.

Effective Date: July 1, 2003.

Explanation of State Expenditures: State plans currently include substance abuse and chemical dependency services.

Explanation of State Revenues:

<u>Explanation of Local Expenditures:</u> Local governments may experience a 0.2% to 5% increase in health-related expenditures for the treatment of substance abuse and chemical dependency related to treatment for mental health.

Background: The Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., conducted a study for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration on the costs and effects of providing parity for mental health and substance abuse benefits. This study involved a case study of five states that have mental health substance abuse parity laws. States reviewed included Minnesota, Maryland, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, and Texas.

Premium Increases Due to Parity - Case Studies:

Minnesota: 1% to 2% increase in premiums.

HB 1135+ 1

Maryland: 1% increase in premiums.

Rhode Island: Negligible premium increase.

New Hampshire: 5% or less increase in premium.

Texas: 1.5% of total claim costs.

In Indiana, costs associated with this requirement may not be as high as states in the study. This study reviewed the costs associated with implementing mental health and substance abuse parity. Indiana currently has a mental health parity requirement. Thus, the costs associated with implementing a substance abuse and chemical dependency requirement related to the treatment of mental illness may be lower than those experienced in the study states due to the difference in services already covered.

In addition, costs may actually decrease if the state enacts a substance abuse and chemical dependency parity requirement. For example, Texas experienced a 47.9% decrease in costs attributable to mental health and substance abuse care from 1992 to 1995.

Premium Increases Due to Parity - Actuarial Studies

Actuarial studies provide in-depth information regarding rate increases for specific types of coverages. According to Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration actuarial study data, costs for substance abuse parity increases premiums 0.2%. This number is significantly lower than the 1% to 5% range in the case study data.

Explanation of Local Revenues:

State Agencies Affected: None.

Local Agencies Affected: All.

Information Sources: Keith Beesley, State Department of Personnel, (317) 232-3062.

"The Costs and Effects of Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Insurance Benefits", U.S.

Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

http://www.mentalhealth.org/publications/allpubs/Mc99-80/Prtyfnix.asp

Fiscal Analyst: Michael Molnar, 317-232-9559

HB 1135+ 2